

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/817,049	04/02/2004	Graham Scott	I4060/166544 (IRC253CON)	2258
	590 11/17/2004		EXAM	INER
	STOCKTON, LLP		BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH	
1100 PEACHT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, G	A 30309		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>							
Office Action Summary		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/817,	,049	SCOTT ET AL.					
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
			eigh Befumo	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no elication.  days, a reply within the st tory period will apply and  Il. by statute cause the au	event, however, may a tatutory minimum of thin will expire SIX (6) MOI polication to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this con	nmunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>02 April 2004</i> .							
		) This action is	non-final.	•					
3)[									
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co							
Application	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🔲 ¯	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. N	lote the attached	d Office Action or form PTO	)-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment	(s)								
I) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				
					1				

Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 27, drawn to a woven floor covering, classified in class 442, subclass
     181.
  - II. Claims 28 35, drawn to a method of making a woven floor covering, classified in class 156, subclass 60+.
  - III. Claims 36 and 37, drawn to a method of installing a carpet, classified in class 156, subclass 304.7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the woven floor covering can be produced by forming the resilient layer directly on the reinforcing layer and then bonding the other layers to the resilient layer and reinforcing layer, instead of forming the resilient layer and then bonding the layer to the reinforcement layer.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the floor covering can be placed on the floor by bonding the

Art Unit: 1771

adjacent edges of the floor covering together and laying the floor covering on the floor, instead of bonding the floor covering directly to the floor.

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. One method involves bonding together various layers to produce a composite and the other method involves covering a floor with adjacent floor tiles.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to John Pratt on October 27, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made because a written restriction was requested.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jenna-Leigh Befumo November 8, 2004